

The Hongkong Telegraph.

(ESTABLISHED 1861.)

NEW SERIES No. 5661

號五初月二十年三十三緒光

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 8, 1908.

三拜禮

號八月正英曆

\$50 PER ANNUM.
SINGLE COPY, 10 CENTS.

Banks.

YOKOHAMA SPECIE BANK, LIMITED.

CAPITAL PAID-UP Yen 24,000,000
RESERVE FUNDS " 15,550,000

Branches and Agencies.

TOKIO. CHEFOO.
YOKOHAMA. SHANGHAI.
HONGKONG. HONGKONG.
Kobe. Kobe.
Manila. Manila.
London. London.
Lyons. Lyons.
New York. New York.
San Francisco. San Francisco.
Hankow. Hankow.
Tientsin. Tientsin.
Peking. Peking.
Hankow. Hankow.
Tientsin. Tientsin.
Peking. Peking.
Hankow. Hankow.
Tientsin. Tientsin.
Peking. Peking.

Head Office—YOKOHAMA.

HONGKONG—INTEREST ALLOWED.
On Current Account at the rate of 3 per cent.
per annum on the Daily Balance.

On fixed deposit—
For 12 months 5% p.a.
" 6 " 4% " "
" 3 " 3% " "

TAKAO TAKAMICHI,
Manager.

Hongkong, 31st October, 1907. [23]

INTERNATIONAL BANKING CORPORATION.

FISCAL AGENTS OF THE UNITED STATES
IN CHINA, THE PHILIPPINE ISLANDS AND
THE REPUBLIC OF PANAMA.

CAPITAL PAID UP GOLD \$1,250,000
RESERVE FUND " 1,250,000

HEAD OFFICE:
60 WALL STREET, NEW YORK.

LONDON OFFICE:
THREEDNEEDLE HOUSE, E.C.

LONDON BANKERS:
BANK OF ENGLAND.

NATIONAL PROVINCIAL BANK OF
ENGLAND, LIMITED.

THE CAPITAL AND COUNTRIES BANK, LTD.

BRANCHES AND AGENTS ALL OVER THE
WORLD.

THE Corporation transacts every description
of Banking and Exchange Business,
receives Money in Current Account at
the rate of 2% per annum on daily balances and
accepts Fixed Deposits at the following rates—
For 12 months 4% per cent. per annum.
" 6 " 3% " "
" 3 " 2% " "

No. 9, Queen's Road Central,
Hongkong.

W. M. ANDERSON,
Manager.

Hongkong, 24th July, 1907. [25]

THE CHARTERED BANK OF INDIA, AUSTRALIA AND CHINA.

INCORPORATED BY ROYAL CHARTER, 1853.

HEAD OFFICE—LONDON.

PAID-UP CAPITAL £1,200,000
RESERVE FUND " 1,475,000
RESERVE LIABILITIES OF PROPRIETORS £1,200,000

INTEREST ALLOWED ON CURRENT
ACCOUNT at the rate of 3 per cent. per
annum on the Daily Balances.

On Fixed Deposits for 12 months 4 per cent.
" 6 " 3% " "
" 3 " 2% " "

JOHN ARMSTRONG,
Manager.

Hongkong, 6th January, 1908. [29]

NEDERLANDSCH-INDISCHE HANDELS BANK.

(NETHERLANDS INDIA COMMERCIAL BANK.)

ESTABLISHED 1865.

Authorized Capital, Fl. 15,000,000 (£1,250,000).
Subscribed Capital, Fl. 10,000,000 (£800,000).
Reserve Fund Fl. 2,112,570.36 (£176,048).

Head Office—AMSTERDAM.

Sub-Office—THE HAGUE.

Head Agency—BATAVIA.

BRANCHES—At Singapore, Sourabaya, Samarang,
Batavia, Bencoolen, Palembang, Medan,
Pekan, Rangoon, Calcutta, Bombay, Madras,
Colombo, Karachi, Djeddah, Bangkok, Saigon,
Shanghai, &c.

BANKERS:

London: The Williams Deacons Bank, Ltd.
Paris: Comptoir National d'Escompte de Paris.
Berlin: Deutsche Bank.
Brussels: Banque de Paris et des Pays Bas.
Vienna: Union Bank.
Rome: Banca Commerciale Italiana.

THE BANK buys and sells and receives
for collection, Bills of Exchange, issues
Letters of Credit payable in all important places
of the world and transacts every description
of Banking and Exchange business.

On Current Account at the rate of 2% per
annum on the daily balances.

On Fixed Deposits: 12 months 4% per annum.
" 6 " 3% " "
" 3 " 2% " "

J. BOETTJE,
Manager.

16, Des Vignes Road Central.

Hotel.

HONGKONG HOTEL.

FIRST CLASS AND UP-TO-DATE.

Military Band during dinner on Saturday Nights.

A. F. DAVIES,
Manager.

Hongkong, 21st June, 1907.

Banks.

HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI BANKING CORPORATION.

PAID-UP CAPITAL \$10,000,000
RESERVE FUNDS:—
Sterling \$1,000,000 at 2/6 = \$1,000,000
Silver \$1,750,000 = \$1,750,000
RESERVE LIABILITY OF PROPRIETORS \$10,000,000

COURT OF DIRECTORS:

G. H. Medhurst, Esq., Chairman.
Hoo. Mr. Henry Keswick, Deputy Chairman.
A. Fuchs, Esq.
R. Shaw, Esq.
A. Goetz, Esq.
H. A. W. Slade, Esq.
C. R. Leemann, Esq.
H. E. Tomkins, Esq.
A. J. Raymond, Esq.

Chief Manager:

Hongkong—J. R. M. SMITH
Shanghai—H. E. R. HUNTER.

LONDON BANKERS—LONDON AND COUNTY
BANKING COMPANY, LIMITED.

HONGKONG—INTEREST ALLOWED:
On Current Account at the rate of 3 per cent.
per annum on the daily balance.

ON FIXED DEPOSITS:
For 12 months, 4% per cent. per annum.
For 6 months, 3% per cent. per annum.
For 3 months, 2% per cent. per annum.

J. R. M. SMITH,
Chief Manager.

Hongkong, 17th August, 1907. [24]

HONGKONG SAVINGS BANK.

THE Business of this Bank is conducted
by the HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI
BANKING CORPORATION. Rules may be
obtained on application.

INTEREST on deposits is allowed at 3% PER
CENT. per annum.

Depositors may transfer at their option
balances of \$100 or more to the HONGKONG AND
SHANGHAI BANK to be placed on FIXED
DEPOSIT at 4 PER CENT. per annum.

For the HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI
BANKING CORPORATION,
J. R. M. SMITH,
Chief Manager.

Hongkong, 12th January, 1907. [28]

DEUTSCH ASIATISCHE BANK.

CAPITAL FULLY PAID-UP—Sh. Tael 7,500,000

HEAD OFFICE—SHANGHAI.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS: BERLIN.

BRANCHES:

Berlin, Calcutta, Hamburg, Hankow,
Kobe, Peking, Singapore, Tientsin,
Tientsin, Tsingtau, Yokohama.

FOUNDED BY THE FOLLOWING BANKS AND
BANKERS:

Koenigliche Seehandlung (Preussische
Staatsbank).
Direction der Disconto-Gesellschaft
Deutsche Bank.
Bleichroeder.
Berliner Handels-Gesellschaft.
Bank fuer Handel und Industrie
Robert Warshawsky & Co.
Vandelsbank & Co.
M. A. von Rothschild & Soehne, Frankfurt.
Jacob S. H. Stern.
Norddeutsche Bank in Hamburg, Hamburg.
Sal. Oppenheim jr. & Co., Koeln.
Bayerische Hypotheken und Wechselbank,
Muenchen.

LONDON BANKERS:
Messrs. N. M. ROYDSCHILD & SONS.
THE UNION OF LONDON AND SMITH'S BANK,
LIMITED.
DEUTSCHE BANK (BERLIN), LONDON AGENT.
DIRECTION DER DISCONTO GESELLSCHAFT.

INTEREST allowed on Current Account.
DEPOSITS received on terms which may be
earned on application. Every description of
Banking and Exchange business transacted.

A. KOEHN,
Manager.

Hongkong, 4th December, 1907. [30]

NEDERLANDSCHE HANDEL-MAATSCHAPPIJ.

(Netherlands Trading Society.)

ESTABLISHED 1824.

PAID-UP CAPITAL Fl. 45,000,000 (£3,750,000).
RESERVE FUND Fl. 5,378,375 (about £448,000).

Head Office—AMSTERDAM.

Head Agency—BATAVIA.

BRANCHES—Singapore, Penang, Shanghai,
Rangoon, Samarang, Sourabaya, Cheribon,
Tegal, Pecalongan, Paseroean, Tjilatjap,
Padang, Medan (Deli), Palembang, Kota,
Kedah (Acheen), Bandjermain.
Correspondents at Macassar, Bombay, Colombo,
Madras, Pondicherry, Calcutta, Bangkok,
Saigon, Haiphong, Hanoi, Amoy,
Yokohama, Kobe, Melbourne, Sydney,
New York, San Francisco, &c.

LONDON BANKERS:
THE UNION OF LONDON AND SMITH'S
BANK, LIMITED.

THE Bank buys and sells and receives for
collection, Bills of Exchange, issues
letters of credit on its Branches and correspondents
in the East, on the Continent, in
Great Britain, America, and Australia, and
transacts banking business of every description.

INTEREST ALLOWED:
On Current Accounts 2% per annum on daily
balances.

Fixed Deposits 12 months 4% per annum.
Do. 6 do. 3% do.
Do. 3 do. 2% do.

J. L. VAN HOUTEN,
Agent.

Hongkong, 18th November, 1907. [26]

Mails.

PENINSULAR AND ORIENTAL.

STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY.

FOR	STAMENS	TO SAIL ON	REMARKS.
SHANGHAI	DEVANHA	About 10th Jan.	Freight and Passage.
LONDON, &c., via usual Ports	DELHI	11th Jan.	See Special Advertisement.
of Call	Capt. J. D. Andrews, R.N.R.	Noon.	
LONDON and ANTWERP	NILE	About 15th Jan.	Freight and Passage.
	Capt. E. P. Martin, R.N.R.		

For Further Particulars, apply to

E. A. HEWETT, Supercargo.

Hongkong, 8th January, 1908. [7]

Intimations.

LANE, CRAWFORD & CO.

BEST MAKES IN

DRESS SHIRTS.

\$9 ea., 6 for \$16.50. \$4.50 ea., 6 for \$25.00.

DRESS TIES.

From 75 cts. per Dozen.

WHITE KID GLOVES.

\$1.25. \$2.25 per pair.

FANCY SOCKS. SILK MUFFLERS. LIGHT WEIGHT OVERCOATS.

LANE, CRAWFORD & CO.

Telephone 97. [38]

GUINNESS'S STOUT

AND

BASS PALE ALE.

"HORSEHEAD" BRAND.

IN QUARTS, PINTS, AND SPLITS.

Telephone 6. No. 75.

CALDBECK, MACGREGOR & CO.

WINE AND SPIRIT MERCHANTS.

Hongkong, 2nd December, 1907. [40]

CHAMPAGNE.

G. H. MUMM & CO.

THE MOST POPULAR WINE

Can be had in the following qualities:

EXTRA DRY (Gout Americanin).

BRUT (Cordon Rouge).

Sales in the United States exceed the total of
all other Brands.

Served in all Clubs and First-class Hotels,
and obtainable at all Wine Merchants in the
Colony, and from Shewan, Tomes & Co., sole
agents.

Hotel.

VICTORIA HOTEL.

(TELEGRAMS—VICTORIA—SHANGHAI).
SHANGHAI, CANTON,
ON THE BRITISH CONCESSION.
H. HAYNES,
Manager.

BOTH HOTELS ELECTRICALLY LIGHTED AND UNDER EXPERIENCED
EUROPEAN MANAGEMENT.

EVERY COMFORT AND CONVENIENCE FOR RESIDENTS AND
TOURISTS.

W. W. FARMER, Proprietor.

Shipping—Steamers

HONGKONG, CANTON, MACAO

AND

WEST RIVER STEAMERS.

JOINT SERVICE OF

THE HONGKONG, CANTON AND MACAO STEAMBOAT CO., LTD., AND

THE CHINA NAVIGATION COMPANY, LTD.

HONGKONG-CANTON LINE.

S.S. "HONAM" 2,363 Tons, "POWAN" 2,338 Tons, "TSHAN" 2,260 Tons,
"KINSHAN" 1,995 Tons, "HEUNGSHAN" 1,998 Tons.

Departures from HONGKONG to CANTON daily at 8 A.M. (Sunday excepted), 10 P.M.
(Saturday excepted).

Departures from CANTON to HONGKONG daily at 8 A.M. and 5 P.M. (Sunday excepted).

These Steamers, carrying His Majesty's Mails, are the largest and fastest on the River.

Special attention is drawn to their Superior Saloon and Cabin Accommodation.

SERVICE OF THE HONGKONG, CANTON AND MACAO STEAMBOAT CO., LTD.

HONGKONG-MACAO LINE.

S.S. "SUI-AN" 1,651 Tons and "SUI-TAI" 1,651 Tons.

Departures from Hongkong to Macao on week days at 8 A.M. from Douglas Wharf and
at 2 P.M. from the Company's Wharf.

On Sundays Special Cheap Excursions as per particulars at foot.

Departures from Macao to Hongkong on week days at 7.30 A.M. and 2 P.M.

JOINT SERVICE OF

THE HONGKONG, CANTON AND MACAO STEAMBOAT CO., LTD.,

THE CHINA NAVIGATION COMPANY, LTD., AND THE INDIA-CHINA STEAM NAVIGATION
COMPANY, LTD.

CANTON-WUCHOW LINE.

S.S. "SAINAM" 588 Tons, and "NANNING" 509 Tons.

One of the above steamers leaves Canton for Wuchow every Monday, Wednesday and
Friday, at about 8 A.M., and the other leaves Wuchow for Canton on the same days at 8.30 A.M.

Round trips take about 5 days. These vessels have Superior Cabin Accommodation and are
lighted throughout by electricity.

EXCURSION TO MACAO.

On SUNDAYS, the Company's Steamship "SUI-AN" will depart from Douglas Wharf
at 9 A.M. Returning from Macao at 5 P.M.

Popular Excursion Rates as usual.

N.B.—The Company also runs a steamer from Macao on Sunday morning at 7.30 A.M. and
from Hongkong at 1 P.M. from the Company's Wharf. This steamer connects with the
returning steamer from Macao.

Further particulars may be obtained at the Office of the—

HONGKONG, CANTON & MACAO STEAMBOAT CO., LD.,

HOTEL MANSIONS, (FIRST FLOOR),

opposite the Hongkong Hotel. [6]

Intimations.

One of the most prominent Medical men of
China said:

"Where Bear Brand Milk is
Known, the public will
have no further com-
plaint as to their milk
supply."

For Sale at

LANE, CRAWFORD & CO.

THE MUTUAL STORES,

and all its BRANCHES.

A. S. WATSON & CO., LD.,

and the Agents—

F. BLACKHEAD & Co.

Hongkong, 2nd October, 1907. [37]

THE CITY OF PARIS.

PARISIAN DRESSMAKERS AND COURT MILLINERS.

3, PEDDER STREET, MADAME FLINT, MANAGERESS.

JUST RECEIVED.

A Large Assortment of EVENING GOWNS

from \$60.

Also Furs, Feather Boas, Trimmings, &c.

Telegrams

(News.)

Lock-out of Lancashire Cotton Operatives

London, 6th January.
A lock-out of 150,000 cotton operatives will begin at Middleton at the end of the month.

Canadian Immigration

The Ottawa Government urges the claims of an Imperialism to subordinating local loyalty to the common weal of the races of the Empire. India was the main prop of the Empire, and the Government appealed to those talking about the Asiatic peril to realise this and to deal gently with British statesmen in their difficulties about the Indian races, numbering nearly one-third of the population of the world.

Later.

The correspondent of the *Standard* in Ottawa writes that the new immigration regulations have been framed, providing that immigrants must come direct from the land of their birth and thus end the influx of Japanese via Hawaii.

The London "Times"

There is much speculation on yesterday's *Observer's* surmise that Baron Northcliffe was an intending purchaser of the *Times*, and an informal contradiction has been made. Sir Arthur Walter, proprietor of the *Times*, is reticent, but has stated that the direction of the *Times* will not leave the hands of the Walter family.

The Druce Case

The Counsel for Mr. Druce has announced to the Court that the case is withdrawn.

ASIAN OR HAWK?

MONEY LEND ASSOCIATION TROUBLES

A dispute between Cheung Tai Koo, an amah, residing at 54, Stanton Street, and Lau Ming, a hawker, of 41, Stanton Street, and head of a Money Lend Association, was threatened at the Supreme Court, this morning, when the former brought an action against the latter to recover \$11 due to her by the Association. There was also a cross-action against Cheung Tai Koo to recover \$35.85 for goods supplied her by Lau Ming. Mr. Justice Wise presided.

Mr. F. X. d'Almeida, Esq. (of Messrs. d'Almeida & Smith) appeared for the plaintiff, and Mr. P. W. Goldring (of Messrs. Goldring and Barlow) for the defendant.

Plaintiff said she was the last person entitled to draw from the Association, and she had asked the defendant for the amount due to her on several occasions. He paid her \$9, leaving a balance of \$11 due and owing. She had not received any further payments from the defendant during last year, being then employed at 9, Ullswater Road, and living in the plaintiff's flat. She did not tell the defendant to supply her daughter or son with food, as both had employment. She denied owing the defendant \$35.85 for meals supplied.

His Lordship—How many members are there in the Association; not above the proverbial twenty, I suppose?

Mr. d'Almeida—Fifteen.

Plaintiff—Eighteen, but the book says four.

Cross-examined by Mr. Goldring, witness denied having received \$17 from the defendant. She had never borrowed at his place, and it was not a fact that she was employed with him at the time she was employed with the plaintiff.

Lau Ming said he resided at 44, Stanton Street, and the plaintiff lived with him. He supplied her son, daughter and nephew with food at her request. After deducting \$10 paid him by plaintiff on account, the balance due to him from her was \$15.85. The book put in was his Money Lend Association book, and the plaintiff signed it when she received \$17.40 in full discharge of her claim.

Mr. d'Almeida—Was not Cheung Tai Koo the last person to draw in your Association?

No.

Yes, in full.

Have you a licence for hawking vegetables?

No, I don't have a licence. I am a travelling trader.

His Lordship gave judgment for the defendant. To the cross-action, he found for the plaintiff for \$12.85 and costs.

THE WEATHER

The following report is from Mr. F. C. Yung, Director of the Hong Kong Observatory:

"On the 6th January, the weather has been considerably in E. Japan, and risen slightly over the Locobos."

A depression, which appears to be moving towards N.E., is situated off E. Japan.

The anticyclonic area is still central over the continent to the North of the Yangtze.

Gradients continue rather steep, and wind squalls may be expected in the Formosa Channel and the China Sea.

At Hong Kong, the wind is from the North, and the sea is calm.

At Canton, the wind is from the North, and the sea is calm.

At Shanghai, the wind is from the North, and the sea is calm.

At Peking, the wind is from the North, and the sea is calm.

At Tientsin, the wind is from the North, and the sea is calm.

At Hankow, the wind is from the North, and the sea is calm.

CHINA FROM WITHOUT

HOW CHINESE AFFAIRS ARE DEALT WITH IN AN ENGLISH MONTHLY.

There is nothing meek or mild about *The Internationalist*, which is the latest addition to the serious monthlies published in England. It aims at being "a mirror of human evolution in all its many aspects." Then again the experience of one country are to be made known to its neighbours so that superficial experiment may become unnecessary. For this purpose we must first ascertain and state the facts and data of human progress, and then subject the same to a scientific investigation in the light of modern sociology, so that we may be able to discover the Organic Tendency of Human Evolution. We shall then be able to make the experience of one country serve for the solution of the difficulties of another, and to throw out practical suggestions founded on the purely ideal schemes of Utopian dreamers, but on the broad basis of the demonstrable facts of social evolution.

It may be admirable—but is it attainable? Take, for example, the references to Chinese affairs which crop up on every other page. The Editor, Dr. Rodolphe Broda, has some extraordinary ideas on the subject of what is happening in the Middle Kingdom. He recognises that conditions in China are altering, but he refuses to be played down to any opinion regarding the probable outcome of events. And some of his statements are amazing and will be read with wonder not unmixed with amusement by readers who have a finger on the pulse of Chinese affairs.

For instance, we are told that "it should be borne in mind that China has only been able to maintain her constitution unchanged for ages on account of its inflexibility superiorly over that of her neighbours. China has been, and still is, Asia's sole Democracy, a commonwealth based on the free labour of her industrious citizens and of her peasant, who own the plots they till. The Middle Kingdom knows no hereditary aristocracy, and, for thousands of years, political power and influence in imperial circles has been vested in the class of the Mandarins—men chosen from the broad ranks of the people by competitive examination, ensuring the selection of the most learned, if not the best. It is noteworthy that more than a century before Plato enunciated his theory of the perfect state, governed by an aristocracy of the wise, the same, or a closely analogous idea, was carried into actual practice by Confucius. It was but yesterday that Auguste Comte developed the principles of Utilitarianism which China's great philosopher-statesman gave to his country 2,400 years ago. The intellectual classes of China are free from all superstition; they hold no dogmatic faith, and its place is taken by a system of independent ethics based on humanitarian principles."

Well, Dr. Rodolphe Broda doubtless knows all about it and speaks from personal knowledge of the conditions, but we are afraid that his aphorisms are little better than shibboleths. Where did he meet those mandarins who are exercising administrative functions and who rose to their high positions through sheer merit? Did he ever hear of viceroys who made it a point of gathering all the clever and astute students around them and securing their allegiance by gifts of office? There is no hereditary aristocracy, he says; that will be interesting news, for even if the hereditary principle had been abolished the sons of the Provincial potentates find divers ways of climbing the ladder of rank. Some pages farther on there is an unsigned article on "The constitutional movement in China," under the heading of "Politics." Speaking of the evangel of freedom the unnamed writer says:

"Especially do those students who return home annually from Japanese universities carry into all the remotest provinces the gospel of this political freedom which has lifted Japan to her present high position. To the same students are greatly owing the transformation of education in the European-Japanese direction, the introduction of natural science colleges into the universities of the Empire, the establishment of girls' schools in towns, and the increase of village schools with a view to the gradual institution of compulsory education." Now who is the author of that utterly unwarranted eulogy of the Chinese students educated in Japan? As a matter of fact the upholding of the rights of the Chinese by Japan with regard to the rights of political freedom means, as a perfect nuisance to everybody with whom they come into contact. Then again towards the end of the magazine there is a short article on "The economic awakening of China," also devoid of significance or new interest. (One sentence will suffice to show how much the writer knows about the subject: "They [the Chinese] are willing to accept from the moneyed Powers nothing more than the capital required for the native companies." Has the Editor of *The Internationalist* never heard about the Canton-Hankow railway and will his attention be directed to the Chinese railway loan question? In the foreword it is stated that 250 special correspondents have been engaged to write for *The Internationalist*. It certainly needs some writer who knows at least a smattering about Chinese affairs, unless the new monthly is to look ridiculous in the eyes of everybody who knows any particular section of the Chinese Empire. Mr. T. Fisher, Esq., of Adelphi, London, W.C., is the publisher, and there should be a future for the magazine if it would only deal with questions on which it has accurate knowledge and if it could depend upon the conclusions drawn by its correspondents and editors.

It is Company, and Italian Canadian Highways are detailed below, as embarked at the station to-day and proceeded to Mount Austin (Partridge) there to be quarried. Major A. R. Douglas, Esq., of the 1st Battalion, The Buffs, and Mr. J. D. Black, Esq., of the 1st Battalion, The Buffs, are also on the list.

Plaintiff said she was the last person entitled to draw from the Association, and she had asked the defendant for the amount due to her on several occasions. He paid her \$9, leaving a balance of \$11 due and owing. She had not received any further payments from the defendant during last year, being then employed at 9, Ullswater Road, and living in the plaintiff's flat. She did not tell the defendant to supply her daughter or son with food, as both had employment. She denied owing the defendant \$35.85 for meals supplied.

His Lordship—How many members are there in the Association; not above the proverbial twenty, I suppose?

Mr. d'Almeida—Fifteen.

Plaintiff—Eighteen, but the book says four.

Cross-examined by Mr. Goldring, witness denied having received \$17 from the defendant. She had never borrowed at his place, and it was not a fact that she was employed with him at the time she was employed with the plaintiff.

Lau Ming said he resided at 44, Stanton Street, and the plaintiff lived with him. He supplied her son, daughter and nephew with food at her request. After deducting \$10 paid him by plaintiff on account, the balance due to him from her was \$15.85. The book put in was his Money Lend Association book, and the plaintiff signed it when she received \$17.40 in full discharge of her claim.

Mr. d'Almeida—Was not Cheung Tai Koo the last person to draw in your Association?

No.

Yes, in full.

Have you a licence for hawking vegetables?

No, I don't have a licence. I am a travelling trader.

His Lordship gave judgment for the defendant. To the cross-action, he found for the plaintiff for \$12.85 and costs.

Plaintiff said she was the last person entitled to draw from the Association, and she had asked the defendant for the amount due to her on several occasions. He paid her \$9, leaving a balance of \$11 due and owing. She had not received any further payments from the defendant during last year, being then employed at 9, Ullswater Road, and living in the plaintiff's flat. She did not tell the defendant to supply her daughter or son with food, as both had employment. She denied owing the defendant \$35.85 for meals supplied.

His Lordship—How many members are there in the Association; not above the proverbial twenty, I suppose?

Mr. d'Almeida—Fifteen.

Plaintiff—Eighteen, but the book says four.

Cross-examined by Mr. Goldring, witness denied having received \$17 from the defendant. She had never borrowed at his place, and it was not a fact that she was employed with him at the time she was employed with the plaintiff.

Lau Ming said he resided at 44, Stanton Street, and the plaintiff lived with him. He supplied her son, daughter and nephew with food at her request. After deducting \$10 paid him by plaintiff on account, the balance due to him from her was \$15.85. The book put in was his Money Lend Association book, and the plaintiff signed it when she received \$17.40 in full discharge of her claim.

Mr. d'Almeida—Was not Cheung Tai Koo the last person to draw in your Association?

No.

Yes, in full.

Have you a licence for hawking vegetables?

No, I don't have a licence. I am a travelling trader.

His Lordship gave judgment for the defendant. To the cross-action, he found for the plaintiff for \$12.85 and costs.

Plaintiff said she was the last person entitled to draw from the Association, and she had asked the defendant for the amount due to her on several occasions. He paid her \$9, leaving a balance of \$11 due and owing. She had not received any further payments from the defendant during last year, being then employed at 9, Ullswater Road, and living in the plaintiff's flat. She did not tell the defendant to supply her daughter or son with food, as both had employment. She denied owing the defendant \$35.85 for meals supplied.

His Lordship—How many members are there in the Association; not above the proverbial twenty, I suppose?

Mr. d'Almeida—Fifteen.

Plaintiff—Eighteen, but the book says four.

Cross-examined by Mr. Goldring, witness denied having received \$17 from the defendant. She had never borrowed at his place, and it was not a fact that she was employed with him at the time she was employed with the plaintiff.

Lau Ming said he resided at 44, Stanton Street, and the plaintiff lived with him. He supplied her son, daughter and nephew with food at her request. After deducting \$10 paid him by plaintiff on account, the balance due to him from her was \$15.85. The book put in was his Money Lend Association book, and the plaintiff signed it when she received \$17.40 in full discharge of her claim.

Mr. d'Almeida—Was not Cheung Tai Koo the last person to draw in your Association?

No.

Yes, in full.

Have you a licence for hawking vegetables?

No, I don't have a licence. I am a travelling trader.

His Lordship gave judgment for the defendant. To the cross-action, he found for the plaintiff for \$12.85 and costs.

Plaintiff said she was the last person entitled to draw from the Association, and she had asked the defendant for the amount due to her on several occasions. He paid her \$9, leaving a balance of \$11 due and owing. She had not received any further payments from the defendant during last year, being then employed at 9, Ullswater Road, and living in the plaintiff's flat. She did not tell the defendant to supply her daughter or son with food, as both had employment. She denied owing the defendant \$35.85 for meals supplied.

His Lordship—How many members are there in the Association; not above the proverbial twenty, I suppose?

Mr. d'Almeida—Fifteen.

Plaintiff—Eighteen, but the book says four.

Cross-examined by Mr. Goldring, witness denied having received \$17 from the defendant. She had never borrowed at his place, and it was not a fact that she was employed with him at the time she was employed with the plaintiff.

Lau Ming said he resided at 44, Stanton Street, and the plaintiff lived with him. He supplied her son, daughter and nephew with food at her request. After deducting \$10 paid him by plaintiff on account, the balance due to him from her was \$15.85. The book put in was his Money Lend Association book, and the plaintiff signed it when she received \$17.40 in full discharge of her claim.

Mr. d'Almeida—Was not Cheung Tai Koo the last person to draw in your Association?

No.

Yes, in full.

Have you a licence for hawking vegetables?

No, I don't have a licence. I am a travelling trader.

His Lordship gave judgment for the defendant. To the cross-action, he found for the plaintiff for \$12.85 and costs.

Plaintiff said she was the last person entitled to draw from the Association, and she had asked the defendant for the amount due to her on several occasions. He paid her \$9, leaving a balance of \$11 due and owing. She had not received any further payments from the defendant during last year, being then employed at 9, Ullswater Road, and living in the plaintiff's flat. She did not tell the defendant to supply her daughter or son with food, as both had employment. She denied owing the defendant \$35.85 for meals supplied.

PAYMENT BY MISTAKE

A COMPLICATED ACTION.

At the Supreme Court, this forenoon, his Honour Sir Francis Pigott (Chief Justice) presiding, the Ng Yuen Hing firm, of 64, Bonham Strand West, brought an action against the Lap Kee firm, of 316, Des Voeux Road Central, to recover the sum of \$5,000 which sum they alleged had been paid to the defendants by mistake.

Mr. M. W. Slade, instructed by Mr. G. K. Hall Brothers, of Messrs. Dutton and Hall, appeared for the plaintiffs. Hgo. Mr. H. E. Rollock, K.C., instructed by Mr. W. J. Daniel, of Messrs. Johnston, Nicksel and Master, represented the defence.

Mr. Slade read the statement of claim as follows:—The plaintiffs are merchants carrying on business at 64, Bonham Strand West. The defendants are merchants carrying on business at 316, Des Voeux Road Central. The plaintiffs in addition to their business as merchants carry on a bill discounting business and for that purpose have as agents in Amoy in the Empire of China a firm known as the Wing Fung firm. On the 12th February, 1907, the plaintiffs received a telegram purporting to come from the Wing Fung firm requesting them to pay to the defendants the sum of \$5,000 in respect of a bill of exchange on Samarang purchased in Amoy. Shortly afterwards, on the 12th February, 1907, the defendants demanded payment of \$5,000 from the plaintiffs, stating that they had been requested by telegram to receive the said sum on behalf of the Kin Hing firm of Amoy. After the receipt of a further telegram purporting to come from the said Wing Fung firm and on further application by the defendant the plaintiffs paid to the defendants the sum of \$5,000.

The said \$5,000 was paid to and received by the defendants under the mistaken belief common to both plaintiffs and defendants that the said Wing Fung firm as agents for the plaintiffs had purchased from the said Kin Hing firm a bill of exchange on Samarang and that the plaintiffs were indebted to the said Kin Hing firm in the sum of \$5,000 and that the said telegrams were sent by the said Wing Fung firm, whereas in fact the said Wing Fung firm had not purchased any bill of exchange from the Kin Hing firm. The plaintiffs were not indebted to the Kin Hing firm and the Wing Fung firm had not sent the said telegrams.

On the 13th February the plaintiffs having learned that the telegrams had not in fact been sent by the Wing Fung firm informed the defendants and demanded repayment of the said \$5,000, but the defendants refused to pay the said sum.

The defendants have not repaid the plaintiffs the said sum or any part thereof. The plaintiffs, therefore, claim \$5,000 and interest thereon at the rate of eight per cent. per annum from the 13th February, 1907 to payment or judgment.

The statement of defence was as follows:—On the 21st February, 1907 the plaintiffs received a telegram which was actually and in fact sent by the Wing Fung firm of Amoy, requesting the plaintiffs to pay the defendants the sum of \$5,000. The defendants, however, do not admit that the telegram contained any reference whatever to any bill of exchange, nor do the defendants admit that the request was connected in any way with any bill of exchange. The defendants admit that they requested payment of \$5,000 from the plaintiffs. The defendants so requested payment in pursuance of telegraphic instructions from the Kin Hing firm of Amoy, which carries on a banking business at Amoy, requesting the defendants to collect the sum of \$5,000 from the plaintiffs on behalf of the Kin Hing firm. The telegram which was actually and in fact sent to the plaintiffs by the Wing Fung firm of Amoy, and the plaintiffs did, in reliance on such telegram, pay to the defendants the sum of \$5,000 on behalf of the said Kin Hing firm. The defendants forthwith on the 12th of February, 1907 telegraphed to the Kin Hing firm informing them of the payment and credited the Kin Hing firm in account with the said sum of \$5,000 accordingly. The defendants do not admit that the Wing Fung firm were actually and in fact sent to the plaintiffs a telegram from the Kin Hing firm ever gave the plaintiffs reason to believe or that the plaintiffs ever did believe that the Wing Fung firm had purchased from the Kin Hing firm a bill of exchange either on Samarang or on any other place or otherwise at all. The defendants deny that the plaintiffs paid over the sum of \$5,000 to the defendants under any such belief. At the time the defendants received the \$5,000 from the plaintiffs they did so solely in pursuance of the instruction from the Kin Hing firm, which instructions made no mention of any bill of exchange, whatever or of an indebtedness or alleged indebtedness of the Kin Hing firm to the plaintiffs. The defendants have since learned that the Wing Fung firm received the sum of \$5,000 under the following circumstances:—The Wing Fung firm owed the respective sums of \$2,500 and \$2,500 to the Kin Hing firm and to the Wing Fung firm respectively, and the Wing Fung firm paid to the Kin Hing firm the sum of \$5,000 in full payment of their debt to the Kin Hing firm. The Wing Fung firm then credited their account with the Wing Fung firm with the sum already mentioned, and the Kin Hing firm on the same day sent the request of the Wing Fung firm, paid to the latter the said sum of \$5,000, being the difference between the \$5,000 and the \$2,500 respectively, by the Wing Fung firm. The defendants do not admit that the Wing Fung firm were actually and in fact sent to the plaintiffs a telegram from the Kin Hing firm ever gave the plaintiffs reason to believe or that the plaintiffs ever did believe that the Wing Fung firm had purchased from the Kin Hing firm a bill of exchange either on Samarang or on any other place or otherwise at all. The defendants deny that the plaintiffs paid over the sum of \$5,000 to the defendants under any such belief. At the time the defendants received the \$5,000 from the plaintiffs they did so solely in pursuance of the instruction from the Kin Hing firm, which instructions made no mention of any bill of exchange, whatever or of an indebtedness or alleged indebtedness of the Kin Hing firm to the plaintiffs. The defendants have since learned that the Wing Fung firm received the sum of \$5,000 under the following circumstances:—The Wing Fung firm owed the respective sums of \$2,500 and \$2,500 to the Kin Hing firm and to the Wing Fung firm respectively, and the Wing Fung firm paid to the Kin Hing firm the sum of \$5,000 in full payment of their debt to the Kin Hing firm. The Wing Fung firm then credited their account with the Wing Fung firm with the sum already mentioned, and the Kin Hing firm on the same day sent the request of the Wing Fung firm, paid to the latter the said sum of \$5,000, being the difference between the \$5,000 and the \$2,500 respectively, by the Wing Fung firm. The defendants do not admit that the Wing Fung firm were actually and in fact sent to the plaintiffs a telegram from the Kin Hing firm ever gave the plaintiffs reason to believe or that the plaintiffs ever did believe that the Wing Fung firm had purchased from the Kin Hing firm a bill of exchange either on Samarang or on any other place or otherwise at all. The defendants deny that the plaintiffs paid over the sum of \$5,000 to the defendants under any such belief. At the time the defendants received the \$5,000 from the plaintiffs they did so solely in pursuance of the instruction from the Kin Hing firm, which instructions made no mention of any bill of exchange, whatever or of an indebtedness or alleged indebtedness of the Kin Hing firm to the plaintiffs. The defendants have since learned that the Wing Fung firm received the sum of \$5,000 under the following circumstances:—The Wing Fung firm owed the respective sums of \$2,500 and \$2,500 to the Kin Hing firm and to the Wing Fung firm respectively, and the Wing Fung firm paid to the Kin Hing firm the sum of \$5,000 in full payment of their debt to the Kin Hing firm. The Wing Fung firm then credited their account with the Wing Fung firm with the sum already mentioned, and the Kin Hing firm on the same day sent the request of the Wing Fung firm, paid to the latter the said sum of \$5,000, being the difference between the \$5,000 and the \$2,500 respectively, by the Wing Fung firm. The defendants do not admit that the Wing Fung firm were actually and in fact sent to the plaintiffs a telegram from the Kin Hing firm ever gave the plaintiffs reason to believe or that the plaintiffs ever did believe that the Wing Fung firm had purchased from the Kin Hing firm a bill of exchange either on Samarang or on any other place or otherwise at all. The defendants deny that the plaintiffs paid over the sum of \$5,000 to the defendants under any such belief. At the time the defendants received the \$5,000 from the plaintiffs they did so solely in pursuance of the instruction from the Kin Hing firm, which instructions made no mention of any bill of exchange, whatever or of an indebtedness or alleged indebtedness of the Kin Hing firm to the plaintiffs. The defendants have since learned that the Wing Fung firm received the sum of \$5,000 under the following circumstances:—The Wing Fung firm owed the respective sums of \$2,500 and \$2,500 to the Kin Hing firm and to the Wing Fung firm respectively, and the Wing Fung firm paid to the Kin Hing firm the sum of \$5,000 in full payment of their debt to the Kin Hing firm. The Wing Fung firm then credited their account with the Wing Fung firm with the sum already mentioned, and the Kin Hing firm on the same day sent the request of the Wing Fung firm, paid to the latter the said sum of \$5,000, being the difference between the \$5,000 and the \$2,500 respectively, by the Wing Fung firm. The defendants do not admit that the Wing Fung firm were actually and in fact sent to the plaintiffs a telegram from the Kin Hing firm ever gave the plaintiffs reason to believe or that the plaintiffs ever did believe that the Wing Fung firm had purchased from the Kin Hing firm a bill of exchange either on Samarang or on any other place or otherwise at all. The defendants deny that the plaintiffs paid over the sum of \$5,000 to the defendants under any such belief. At the time the defendants received the \$5,000 from the plaintiffs they did so solely in pursuance of the instruction from the Kin Hing firm, which instructions made no mention of any bill of exchange, whatever or of an indebtedness or alleged indebtedness of the Kin Hing firm to the plaintiffs. The defendants have since learned that the Wing Fung firm received the sum of \$5,000 under the following circumstances:—The Wing Fung firm owed the respective sums of \$2,500 and \$2,500 to the Kin Hing firm and to the Wing Fung firm respectively, and the Wing Fung firm paid to the Kin Hing firm the sum of \$5,000 in full payment of their debt to the Kin Hing firm. The Wing Fung firm then credited their account with the Wing Fung firm with the sum already mentioned, and the Kin Hing firm on the same day sent the request of the Wing Fung firm, paid to the latter the said sum of \$5,000, being the difference between the \$5,000 and the \$2,500 respectively, by the Wing Fung firm. The defendants do not admit that the Wing Fung firm were actually and in fact sent to the plaintiffs a telegram from the Kin Hing firm ever gave the plaintiffs reason to believe or that the plaintiffs ever did believe that the Wing Fung firm had purchased from the Kin Hing firm a bill of exchange either on Samarang or on any other place or otherwise at all. The defendants deny that the plaintiffs paid over the sum of \$5,000 to the defendants under any such belief. At the time the defendants received the \$5,000 from the plaintiffs they did so solely in pursuance of the instruction from the Kin Hing firm, which instructions made no mention of any bill of exchange, whatever or of an indebtedness or alleged indebtedness of the Kin Hing firm to the plaintiffs. The defendants have since learned that the Wing Fung firm received the sum of \$5,000 under the following circumstances:—The Wing Fung firm owed the respective sums of \$2,500 and \$2,500 to the Kin Hing firm and to the Wing Fung firm respectively, and the Wing Fung firm paid to the Kin Hing firm the sum of \$5,000 in full payment of their debt to the Kin Hing firm. The Wing Fung firm then credited their account with the Wing Fung firm with the sum already mentioned, and the Kin Hing firm on the same day sent the request of the Wing Fung firm, paid to the latter the said sum of \$5,000, being the difference between the \$5,000 and the \$2,500 respectively, by the Wing Fung firm. The defendants do not admit that the Wing Fung firm were actually and in fact sent to the plaintiffs a telegram from the Kin Hing firm ever gave the plaintiffs reason to believe or that the plaintiffs ever did believe that the Wing Fung firm had purchased from the Kin Hing firm a bill of exchange either on Samarang or on any other place or otherwise at all. The defendants deny that the plaintiffs paid over the sum of \$5,000 to the defendants under any such belief. At the time the defendants received the \$5,000 from the plaintiffs they did so solely in pursuance of the instruction from the Kin Hing firm, which instructions made no mention of any bill of exchange, whatever or of an indebtedness or alleged indebtedness of the Kin Hing firm to the plaintiffs. The defendants have since learned that the Wing Fung firm received the sum of \$5,000 under the following circumstances:—The Wing Fung firm owed the respective sums of \$2,500 and \$2,500 to the Kin Hing firm and to the Wing Fung firm respectively, and the Wing Fung firm paid to the Kin Hing firm the sum of \$5,000 in full payment of their debt to the Kin Hing firm. The Wing Fung firm then credited their account with the Wing Fung firm with the sum already mentioned, and the Kin Hing firm on the same day sent the request of the Wing Fung firm, paid to the latter the said sum of \$5,000, being the difference between the \$5,000 and the \$2,500 respectively, by the Wing Fung firm. The defendants do not admit that the Wing Fung firm were actually and in fact sent to the plaintiffs a telegram from the Kin Hing firm ever gave the plaintiffs reason to believe or that the plaintiffs ever did believe that the Wing Fung firm had purchased from the Kin Hing firm a bill of exchange either on Samarang or on any other place or otherwise at all. The defendants deny that the plaintiffs paid over the sum of \$5,000 to the defendants under any such belief. At the time the defendants received the \$5,000 from the plaintiffs they did so solely in pursuance of the instruction from the Kin Hing firm, which instructions made no mention of any bill of exchange, whatever or of an indebtedness or alleged indebtedness of the Kin Hing firm to the plaintiffs. The defendants have since learned that the Wing Fung firm received the sum of \$5,000 under the following circumstances:—The Wing Fung firm owed the respective sums of \$2,500 and \$2,500 to the Kin Hing firm and to the Wing Fung firm respectively, and the Wing Fung firm paid to the Kin Hing firm the sum of \$5,000 in full payment of their debt to the Kin Hing firm. The Wing Fung firm then credited their account with the Wing Fung firm with the sum already mentioned, and the Kin Hing firm on the same day sent the request of the Wing Fung firm, paid to the latter the said sum of \$5,000, being the difference between the \$5,000 and the \$2,500 respectively, by the Wing Fung firm. The defendants do not admit that the Wing Fung firm were actually and in fact sent to the plaintiffs a telegram from the Kin Hing firm ever gave the plaintiffs reason to believe or that the plaintiffs ever did believe that the Wing Fung firm had purchased from the Kin Hing firm a bill of exchange either on Samarang or on any other place or otherwise at all. The defendants deny that the plaintiffs paid over the sum of \$5,000 to the defendants under any such belief. At the time the defendants received the \$5,000 from the plaintiffs they did so solely in pursuance of the instruction from the Kin Hing firm, which instructions made no mention of any bill of exchange, whatever or of an indebtedness or alleged indebtedness of the Kin Hing firm to the plaintiffs. The defendants have since learned that the Wing Fung firm received the sum of \$5,000 under the following circumstances:—The Wing Fung firm owed the respective sums of \$2,500 and \$2,500 to the Kin Hing firm and to the Wing Fung firm respectively, and the Wing Fung firm paid to the Kin Hing firm the sum of \$5,000 in full payment of their debt to the Kin Hing firm. The Wing Fung firm then credited their account with the Wing Fung firm with the sum already mentioned, and the Kin Hing firm on the same day sent the request of the Wing Fung firm, paid to the latter the said sum of \$5,000, being the difference between the \$5,000 and the \$2,500 respectively, by the Wing Fung firm. The defendants do not admit that the Wing Fung firm were actually and in fact sent to the plaintiffs a telegram from the Kin Hing firm ever gave the plaintiffs reason to believe or that the plaintiffs ever did believe that the Wing Fung firm had purchased from the Kin Hing firm a bill of exchange either on Samarang or on any other place or otherwise at all. The defendants deny that the plaintiffs paid over the sum of \$5,000 to the defendants under any such belief. At the time the defendants received the \$5,000 from the plaintiffs they did so solely in pursuance of the instruction from the Kin Hing firm, which instructions made no mention of any bill of exchange, whatever or of an indebtedness or alleged indebtedness of the Kin Hing firm to the plaintiffs. The defendants have since learned that the Wing Fung firm received the sum of \$5,000 under the following circumstances:—The Wing Fung firm owed the respective sums of \$2,500 and \$2,500 to the Kin Hing firm and to the Wing Fung firm respectively, and the Wing Fung firm paid to the Kin Hing firm the sum of \$5,000 in full payment of their debt to the Kin Hing firm. The Wing Fung firm then credited their account with the Wing Fung firm with the sum already mentioned, and the Kin Hing firm on the same day sent the request of the Wing Fung firm, paid to the latter the said sum of \$5,000, being the difference between the \$5,000 and the \$2,500 respectively, by the Wing Fung firm. The defendants do not admit that the Wing Fung firm were actually and in fact sent to the plaintiffs a telegram from the Kin Hing firm ever gave the plaintiffs reason to believe or that the plaintiffs ever did believe that the Wing Fung firm had purchased from the Kin Hing firm a bill of exchange either on Samarang or on any other place or otherwise at all. The defendants deny that the plaintiffs paid over the sum of \$5,000 to the defendants under any such belief. At the time the defendants received the \$5,000 from the plaintiffs they did so solely in pursuance of the instruction from the Kin Hing firm, which instructions made no mention of any bill of exchange, whatever or of an indebtedness or alleged indebtedness of the Kin H

Shipping—Steamers.

CANADIAN PACIFIC RAILWAY COY.'S ROYAL MAIL STEAMSHIP LINE.

Luxury—Speed—Punctuality.

The only Line that maintains a Regular Schedule Service of under Eleven Days across the Pacific in the "Empress-Line." Sailing: 5 to 10 Days Ocean Travel.

11 Days YOKOHAMA to VANCOUVER. 13 Days HONGKONG to VANCOUVER.

PROPOSED SAILINGS. (Subject to Alteration).

R.M.S.	Tons	Leave HONGKONG	Arrive VANCOUVER
"EMPRESS OF INDIA"	6,000	THURSDAY, Jan. 16th	Feb. 3rd
"MONTEAGLE"	6,000	WEDNESDAY, Jan. 29th	Feb. 22nd
"EMPRESS OF JAPAN"	6,000	THURSDAY, Feb. 13th	Mar. 2nd
"EMPRESS OF CHINA"	6,000	THURSDAY, Mar. 13th	Mar. 31st
"EMPRESS OF INDIA"	6,000	THURSDAY, April 9th	April 27th
"MONTEAGLE"	6,000	WEDNESDAY, April 23rd	May 16th

"EMPRESS" steamers will depart from Hongkong at 4 P.M. Intermediate steamers at 12 Noon.

The Quickest route to CANADA, UNITED STATES and EUROPE, calling at SHANGHAI, NAGASAKI, (through the INLAND SEA OF JAPAN), KOBE, YOKOHAMA, and VICTORIA, B.C., and at QUEBEC, with the Company's New Patrial "EMPRESS" Steamships, 14,500 tons register. The shortest transit to LIVERPOOL being 22 days, from YOKOHAMA, and 29 days from SHANGHAI. Via St. Lawrence River Lines or New York City, to Hongkong, to London, 1st Class, Intermediate or 2nd Class.

Steamers, and 1st Class on Railways, via St. Lawrence River Lines or New York City, to Hongkong, to London, 1st Class, Intermediate or 2nd Class.

First-class rates include cost of Meals and Berth in Sleeping Car while crossing the American Continent.

R.M.S. "MONTEAGLE" carries "Intermediate" Passengers only, at intermediate rates, affording superior accommodation for that class.

Passengers Booked through to all points and AROUND THE WORLD.

SPECIAL RATES (First class only) granted to Missionaries, Members of the Naval, Military, Diplomatic and Civil Services, and to European Officials in the Service of China and Japan Governments.

For further information, Maps, Routes, Hand Books, Rates of Freight and Passage, apply to

D. W. CRADDOCK, General Traffic Agent for China,
Corner Pedder Street and Praya.

INDO-CHINA STEAM NAVIGATION CO. LD.

(PROJECTED SAILINGS FROM HONGKONG.—SUBJECT TO ALTERATION.)

For Steamship On

SINGAPORE & SOERABAYA **CHUNSHANG** THURSDAY, 9th Jan. 3 P.M.

MANILA **YUNNAN** FRIDAY, 10th Jan. 4 P.M.

SHANGHAI **YUNNAN** FRIDAY, 10th Jan. 4 P.M.

SHANGHAI **YUNNAN** FRIDAY, 10th Jan. 4 P.M.

MANILA **YUNNAN** FRIDAY, 10th Jan. 4 P.M.

SHANGHAI, YOKOHAMA, KOBE **NANSANG** TUESDAY, 21st Jan. 3 P.M.

SINGAPORE, PENANG & CALCUTTA **FOOKSANG** TUESDAY, 21st Jan. 3 P.M.

REDUCED FARES TO STRAITS & CALCUTTA.

	Single	Return
Hongkong to Singapore 1st Class	\$ 65	\$ 100
Penang	85	130
Calcutta	165	250

* These Steamers have superior accommodation for First-class Passengers, and are fitted throughout with Electric Light.

† Taking Cargo on through Bills of Lading to Chobio, Tientsin, Newchwang and Yangtze Port.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

JARDINE, MATHESON & CO., LD.,
General Managers.

Hongkong, 8th January, 1908.

CHINA NAVIGATION CO., LIMITED.

TO SAIL

HOIHOW & HAIPHONG **"SIGAN"** 9th Jan., daylight.

SHANGHAI **"YUNNAN"** 9th " 4 P.M.

SHANGHAI **"KWEILIN"** 10th " " "

SHANGHAI **"CHANGCHOW"** 11th " " "

SAIGON **"KA OHOW"** 12th " daylight.

SHANGHAI **"LINAN"** 12th " 4 P.M.

TSINGTAU & CHEFOO **"HANGHOU"** 13th " " "

SHANGHAI **"SHAOHSING"** 14th " " "

MANILA **"TEAN"** 14th " " "

CEBU & ILOILO **"SUNGKIANG"** 15th " " "

SHANGHAI **"YORROW"** 16th " " "

CEBU & ILOILO **"KAIFONG"** 21st " " "

MANILA **"TAMING"** 21st " " "

MANILA, ZAMBOANGA & COLONIES **"OHINGTU"** 21st Feb., " " "

* Attention of Passengers is directed to the Superior Accommodation offered by these steamers, which are fitted throughout with Electric Light. Unvarnished table. A daily qualified Surgeon is carried.

† Taking Cargo on through Bills of Lading to all Yangtze and Northern China Ports.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

BUTTERFIELD & SWIRE,
AGENTS.

Hongkong, 8th January, 1908.

HONGKONG—MANILA.

Highest Class, newest, fastest and most luxurious Steamers between Hongkong and Manila.—Saloon amidships—Electric Light—Perfect Cuisine—Surgeon and Stewardess carried.—All the most up-to-date arrangements for comfort of Passengers.

CHINA AND MANILA STEAMSHIP COMPANY, LIMITED.

Steamship	Tons	Captain	For	Sailing Date
ZAFIRO	2540	Fraser	MANILA	SATURDAY, 11th Jan., 1907.
RUBI	2540	Almond	"	SATURDAY, 18th Jan., 1908.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

SHEWAN TOMES & CO.,
General Managers.

Hongkong, 6th January, 1908.

CHINA & MANILA STEAMSHIP CO., LIMITED.

MANILA CARNIVAL.

A CARNIVAL is to be held in MANILA under Government auspices during the week commencing 30th FEBRUARY, 1908. As an inducement to Hongkong residents to patronise this important event, besides enjoying a holiday of reasonable length, we have decided to dispatch our steamer "RUBI" on FRIDAY, 31st January, in the evening instead of at Noon on Saturday. The steamer will, therefore, reach Manila early on Monday morning, and in order that the full week's festivities may be enjoyed we will dispatch the steamer from Manila until SUNDAY morning, 9th February, at Daylight. Steamer will reach Hongkong again on the afternoon of Tuesday, 11th February.

We have arranged a Special Fare for this Round Trip of \$50, and we shall allow passengers to remain on board during the stay in Manila for \$10 per day inclusive. We trust to secure sufficient passengers to justify our having offered this inducement.

For further particulars, apply to the Undersigned.

SHEWAN TOMES & CO.,
General Managers.

Hongkong, 14th December, 1907.

Shipping—Steamers.

HAMBURG-AMERIKA LINIE.

187 Oore Steamers:

with

916,000

Br. Reg. Tons.

PASSENGER SERVICE.

RHENANIA—HABSBURG—HOHENSTAUFEN—SILESIA—SCANDIA.

HIGHEST COMFORT, ONLY LOWER BERTHS.

Laundry on board, Doctor, Stewardesses carried.

Ports of call: **NAPLES, PLYMOUTH, HAVRE, HAMBURG.**

NEXT SAILINGS FROM HONGKONG.

Outward.	Homeward.
RHENANIA 21st Jan., 1908	SCANDIA 9th Jan., 1908
HOHENSTAUFEN 22nd Feb., 1908	HABSBURG 30th Jan., 1908
	RHENANIA 26th Feb., 1908
	HOHENSTAUFEN 25th March, 1908

Hongkong, 24th December, 1907.

HONGKONG-NEW YORK-BOSTON.

AMERICAN ASIATIC STEAMSHIP CO.

FOR NEW YORK and BOSTON via PORTS and SUEZ CANAL.

(With Liberty to Call at the Malabar Coast).

Steamship "INDRAPURA" On TUESDAY, 14th January, 1908.

For Freight and further information, apply to

SHEWAN TOMES & CO.,
General Agents.

Hongkong, 2nd January, 1908.

EASTERN AND AUSTRALIAN STEAMSHIP COMPANY, LIMITED.

FOR SYDNEY AND MELBOURNE.

(Calling at Timor, Port Darwin, and Queensland Ports, and taking through Cargo to Adelaide, New Zealand, Tasmania, &c.)

"JAPAN"

Captain J. G. Olfert, will be despatched for the above Ports, on FRIDAY, the 10th inst., at Daylight.

This Steamer has Superior Accommodation for Passengers, and is installed throughout with Electric Light and carries a duly certified Doctor.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

DAVID SASSON & Co., LIMITED,
Agents.

Hongkong, 7th January, 1908.

DOUGLAS STEAMSHIP COMPANY, LIMITED.

FOR SWATOW, AMOY AND FOOCHEW.

"HAICHING"

Captain Hodgins, will be despatched for the above Ports, on FRIDAY, the 10th inst., at 10 o'clock A.M.

For Freight or Passage, apply to

DOUGLAS LARRAIK & Co.,
General Managers.

Hongkong, 6th January, 1908.

TOYO KISEN KAISHA.

SOUTH AMERICAN LINE.

REGULAR STEAMSHIP SERVICE BETWEEN HONGKONG, CALLAO AND IQUIQUE via JAPAN PORTS (KARATSU, KOBE and YOKOHAMA).

With liberty to call at HONOLULU and SALINA CRUZ.

Steamers Tons To sail

KASATO MARU 6,100 Sometime in March, 1908.

Taking Freight and Passengers to other Eastern and Western Coast ports of South America in connection with Steamers of the Pacific S. N. Co.

For further information as to Freight and Passage, apply to

K. MATSUDA,
Manager, York Building, Hongkong, 26th December, 1907.

STEAM TO CANTON.

The New Twin Screw Steel Steamers

"KWONG TUNG" Capt. E. W. WALKER.

"KWONG SAI" Capt. E. S. CROWE.

Leave Hongkong for Canton at 9 every evening, (Saturday excepted).

Leave Canton for Hongkong at 5.30 every evening, (Sunday excepted).

These Fine New Steamers have unexcelled Accommodation for First Class Passengers and are lit throughout by Electricity, Electric Fans in First Class Cabin.

Passage Fare—Single Journey \$4

Meals \$1.25 each

The Company's Wharf is situated in front of the New Western Market, opposite the old Harbour Office.

YUEN ON S.S. CO. LD.
and
SHIU ON S.S. CO. LD.
No. 8, Queen's Road West.

Hongkong, 2nd July, 1907.

PARCEL EXPRESS TO THE UNITED STATES AND CANADA.

For further information, apply to

DODWELL & CO., LIMITED,
General Agents.

Jussor's Buildings, Hongkong, 12th December, 1907.

REGULAR STEAMSHIP SERVICE TO NEW YORK.

VIA PORTS and SUEZ CANAL.

(With Liberty to Call at Malabar Coast).

PROPOSED SAILINGS FROM HONGKONG

FOR BOSTON AND NEW YORK.

S.S. "SHIMOSA" 8th February, 1908.

For Freight and further information, apply to

DODWELL & CO., LIMITED,
General Agents.

Hongkong, 7th January, 1908.

Consignees.

NORDDEUTSCHER LLOYD, BREMEN.

IMPERIAL GERMAN MAIL LINE.

NOTICE TO CONSIGNEES.

"ZIETEN."

having arrived, Consignees of Cargo are hereby informed that their Goods, with the exception of Opium, Treasure and Valuables, are being landed and stored at their risk into the Godowns and/or extra hazardous Godowns of the Hongkong and Kowloon Wharf and Godown Company, Limited, Kowloon, whence delivery may be obtained.

Optional Cargo will be forwarded unless notice to the contrary be given before THURSDAY, the 2nd of January, at Noon.

No Claims will be admitted after the Goods have left the Godowns, and all Goods remaining undelivered after the 9th of January, will be subject to rent.

All broken, chafed, and damaged Goods are to be left in the Godowns, where they will be examined on the 9th of January, at 9.30 A.M.

All claims must reach us before the 13th of January, 1908, or they will not be recognized.

No Fire Insurance will be effected.

Bills of Lading will be countersigned by the undersigned.

NORDDEUTSCHER LLOYD, MELBOURNE & Co., Agents.

Hongkong, 2nd January, 1908.

"BARBER" LINE OF STEAMERS.

NOTICE TO CONSIGNEES.

STEAMSHIP "ST. PATRICK."

FROM NEW YORK AND STRAITS.

CONSIGNEES of Cargo are hereby informed that all Goods are being landed at their risk into the Godowns of the Hongkong and Kowloon Wharf and Godown Company, Ltd., at Kowloon, whence and/or from the Wharves delivery may be obtained.

No Claims will be admitted after the Goods have left the Godowns, and all Goods remaining undelivered after the 11th instant will be subject to rent.

All Claims against the Steamer must be presented to the Undersigned on or before the 11th instant, or they will not be recognized.

All broken, chafed, and damaged Goods are to be left in the Godowns, where they will be examined on the 11th instant, at 10 A.M.

No Fire Insurance has been effected.

Bills of Lading will be countersigned by

DODWELL & CO., LIMITED,
Agents.

Hongkong, 6th January, 1908.

S.S. "YARRA."

COMPAGNIE DES MESSEGERIES MARITIMES.

NOTICE TO CONSIGNEES.

CONSIGNEES of Cargo from London ex s.s. *Dordogne*, and from Bordeaux ex s.s. *Villa de Bordeaux*, in connection with above Steamer, are hereby informed that their Goods, with the exception of Opium, Treasure and Valuables, are being landed and stored at their risk into the Godowns and/or extra hazardous Godowns of the Hongkong and Kowloon Wharf and Godown Co., Limited, at Kowloon, whence delivery may be obtained immediately after landing.

Optional Cargo will be forwarded, on unless intimation is received from the Consignees before Noon, TO-DAY, requesting it to be landed here.

Bills of Lading will be countersigned by the Undersigned, Goods remaining undelivered after TUESDAY, the 14th January, at Noon, will be subject to rent and landing charges.

All claims must be sent in to me on or before the 14th January, or they will not be recognized.

All damaged packages will be examined on TUESDAY, the 14th January, at 3 P.M.

No Fire Insurance has been effected.

J. MILLET, Agent.

Hongkong, 7th January, 1908.

NOTICE TO CONSIGNEES.

"GLEN" LINE OF STEAMERS.

FROM ANTWERP, HULL, LONDON AND STRAIT.

"GLENROY"

having arrived from the above Ports, Consignees of Cargo by her are hereby informed that their Goods are being landed at their risk into the Godowns of the Hongkong and Kowloon Wharf and Godown Co., Limited, at Kowloon, whence and delivery can be obtained as soon as the Goods are landed.

Goods not cleared by the 13th instant will be subject to rent.

No Fire Insurance will be effected.

All damaged packages must be left in the Godowns, where they will be examined on the 13th inst., at 11 A.M.

No claims will be recognized if not presented within 14 days of the ship's arrival.

MCGREGOR BROS. & GOW.

Hongkong, 7th January, 1908.

NORTHERN PACIFIC LINE.

BOSTON STEAMSHIP COMPANY.

Connecting at Tacoma with NORTHERN PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPOSED SAILINGS FROM HONGKONG FOR VICTORIA, B.C. AND TACOMA.

VIA MOJI, KOBE AND YOKOHAMA.

Steamer	Tons	Captain	Sailing
Kumerik	6,150	Cowley	28th Jan. 1908.
Shawmut	9,600	E. V. Roberts	21st Feb.
Tremont	9,600	T. W. Garlick	17th Mar.
Suvarik	6,150	Shotton	9th Apr.

CHEAP FARES, EXCELLENT ACCOMMODATION, ATTENDANCE AND CUISINE, ELECTRIC LIGHT, DOCTOR AND STEWARDESSES.

* The twin-screw s.s. *Shawmut* and *Tremont* are fitted with very superior accommodation for first and second class passengers. The large size of these vessels ensures steadiness of sea. Electric fan in each room. Barber's shop and steam laundry. Cargo carried in cold storage.

† Cargo only.

PARCEL EXPRESS TO THE UNITED STATES AND CANADA.

For further information, apply to

DODWELL & CO., LIMITED,
General Agents.

Jussor's Buildings, Hongkong, 12th December, 1907.

REGULAR STEAMSHIP SERVICE TO NEW YORK.

VIA PORTS and SUEZ CANAL.

(With Liberty to Call at Malabar Coast).

PROPOSED SAILINGS FROM HONGKONG

FOR BOSTON AND NEW YORK.

S.S. "SHIMOSA" 8th February, 1908.

For Freight and further information, apply to

DODWELL & CO., LIMITED,
General Agents.

Hongkong, 7th January, 1908.

NOTICE TO CONSIGNEES.

THE P. & O. S. N. Co.'s Steamer

"PALAWAN"

FROM ANTWERP, LONDON, MALTA, PORT SAID, SUEZ AND STRAITS.

Consignees of Cargo by the above-named vessel are hereby informed that their Goods are being landed and placed at their risk in the Godowns and/or extra hazardous Godowns of the Hongkong and Kowloon Wharf and Godown Company, Limited, at Kowloon, whence and delivery can be obtained as soon as the Goods are landed.

Optional Goods will be landed here unless instructions are given to the contrary before 6 hours.

Goods not cleared by the 13th inst., at 4 P.M., will be subject to rent.

No Fire Insurance will be effected by me in any case whatever.

Damaged Packages must be left in the Godowns for examination by the Consignees and the Company's representative, at an appointed hour.

All claims must be presented within ten days of the steamer's arrival here after which date they cannot be recognized.

No claims will be admitted after the Goods have left the Godowns.

E. A. HENRIETT,
Superintendent.

Hongkong, 7th January, 1908.

RELATIONS OF JAPAN AND AMERICA.

ROOSEVELT PERILOUSLY CONDEMNED BY DEMOCRATS.

New York, December 3.

Viscount Aoki, Japanese Ambassador to the United States, has been recalled by the home Government, the official reason given being that Tokio is anxious to ascertain the prospects of legislation for exclusion of the less desirable class of Japanese immigrants.

The Ambassador's departure at this juncture seems peculiarly inopportune, for it is bound to cause the revival of the long talk of trouble with Japan, inasmuch as the incident occurs only two days before the American battleships leave for Pacific waters.

NEEDS EXPANSION.

It seems generally conceded in Washington that the officials' excuse requires a good deal of expansion.

Viscount Aoki has been the consistent friend of the United States all through: first, in the San Francisco difficulty, when American parents resented girls having to attend classes along with Japanese youths; secondly, in the riots arising from Japanese workmen driving out the better paid Americans on the Pacific Coast; and thirdly, in the uproar which followed Mr. Roosevelt's determination temporarily to shift the squadron from the Atlantic to the Pacific coast.

Apparently Tokio considers that Viscount Aoki has been too conciliatory.

Whenever he has spoken for publication it has been always to deny any bellicose interpretation of the Japanese demands, and to deprecate talk of a possible break in the amicable relations existing between the two countries.

UNPOPULAR JAPANESE.

Other diplomats advance the theory that Viscount Aoki has failed, just as his predecessor, Baron Takahira, failed, to popularise the Japanese in this country. That question has almost exclusive reference to the Pacific Slope, where feeling is intensely bitter against the foothold the thrifty subjects of the Mikado, with Far Eastern ideas of morality, have obtained, in California, Oregon, Washington State, and British Columbia.

Whatever the real reason for his return to Tokio, it is pointed out here that Viscount Aoki's stand in Japan is such that his Government would need more than ordinary courage to summarily recall him, for he has been twice Prime Minister, and has only been accredited to the United States since May last year.

It is certain we shall see a renewal of the angry criticisms of Mr. Roosevelt for inviting trouble with Tokio by dispatching warships towards the Philippines at a time when there are delicate outstanding differences between the United States and Japan.

ROOSEVELT IMPERIALISM.

So far as the Press of this country is concerned we have the amusing spectacle of Mr. Hearst in the "American" and Mr. Gordon Bennett in the "Herald" hand-in-hand urging Mr. Roosevelt to "let our own navy go to our own waters along our own coast without any objection from any foreign Power."

The agitation against what it calls "Mr. Roosevelt's aggressive Imperialism" has been ably led by the "New York World" and endorsed by the Democratic party generally.

WARSHIP-ADRIFT.

DAMAGED BY CRASHING INTO A CRUISER.

The battleship Prince George, flagship of Rear-Admiral Farquhar, commanding the Portsmouth division of the Home Fleet, broke away from her moorings in Portsmouth Harbour late on Thursday night (Dec. 5) and did considerable damage before she could be got under control.

The Prince George began by drifting up the harbour, her moorings having carried away. At the time she was lying at No. 2 buoy, which is nearly opposite the King's stairs, and the new armoured cruiser Shannon, which has come round from Chatham for her trials, was at No. 3 buoy, which is close at hand.

As the Prince George, which is of 14,900 tons, began to drift she on board let go an anchor, which had the effect of checking her progress, but it could not prevent her swinging round with the tide, and the vessel was carried on to stem of the Shannon.

Assistance was signalled for, and the powerful tug which is always kept available alongside the dockyard put off. The battleship and cruiser cleared each other with the assistance of the tugs, and the Prince George was placed alongside the dockyard.

She was making water, but not sufficiently to necessitate her being at once docked. An examination showed that the vessels had been badly damaged. Yesterday (Dec. 6) morning she was placed in No. 1 dock. As she was being taken round it was seen that she had a list to starboard, and that her plates on the starboard amidships had been badly pierced.

The Shannon was also damaged about the upper works, but not extensively, nor were her injuries sufficient to necessitate her removal from the moorings in the stream.

AN APPEAL.

THE SUPERIORESS OF THE ITALIAN CONVENT, CAVALIERE, begs to appeal to the Residents of Hongkong and the Coast Ports for their kind patronage and support, and desires to state that she will be pleased to receive orders for all kinds of NEEDLE WORK.

Goodness's Blouse made to order, and Collars renewed on old ones.

Ladies and Children's Underclothing, Collars, Dresses, and all kinds of Embroidery materials can be supplied, if required.

The Superiorress will also receive orders for all kinds of NEEDLE WORK.

Any orders should be sent to the Superiorress, who is at the convent, 10, Queen's Road West, Hongkong, 22nd April, 1908.

Intimation.

Ergebnisse

Commanding the Navy, Republic of Indo China.

	Jan. 7 at 10 P. M.	Jan. 7 at 4 P. M.
Barometric pressure	30.44	30.35
Temperature	58	63
Humidity	53	43
Wind	—	—

January 8th, 1908. a.m.									
an.	Vladivostok	7	a.m.	—	—	—	—	—	—
van,	Nemuro	—	—	—	30	—	—	SE	0
S. &	Hakodate	—	—	—	10	01	—	NW	2
an.	Tokyo	—	—	—	20	05	—	SE	4
Jan.	Kochi	—	—	—	30	43	—	NW	7
an.	Nagasaki	—	—	—	30	59	—	NW	8
C.	Kagoshima	—	—	—	30	59	—	NW	8
6th	Oshima	—	—	—	30	59	—	NW	8
and	Ishigakijima	—	—	—	30	57	—	NW	8
7th	Bonin Is.	—	—	—	30	16	—	NW	2
and	Chefoo	—	—	—	30	58	23	100	NW
Dec.	Weihweiwei	9	a.m.	—	—	—	—	—	—
C. S.	Hankow	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jan.	Kinkiang	—	—	—	10	71	30	77	NW
6th	Gungshang	—	—	—	10	66	32	78	NW
and	Shanghai	—	—	—	10	55	34	78	NW
Jan.	Shanghai	—	—	—	10	55	34	78	NW
6th	Amoy	6	a.m.	—	—	—	—	—	—
and	Taiow	—	—	—	30	44	51	86	NW
Dec.	Faichoku	—	a.m.	—	—	—	—	—	—
C. S.	Taichu	—	—	—	10	54	—	—	—
Jan.	Tainan	—	—	—	10	34	—	—	—
6th	Kooshu	—	—	—	10	27	—	—	—
and	Fusacores	—	—	—	30	56	—	—	—
Dec.	Canton	—	—	—	30	56	48	51	NW
C. S.	Hongkong	—	—	—	30	48	57	56	NW
Jan.	Victor Peak	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
6th	Gak Rock	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
and	Macao	—	—	—	30	41	59	—	—
Dec.	Hoi-ho	9	a.m.	—	—	—	—	—	—
C. S.	Pakhoi	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jan.	Paulsen	—	10 a.m.	—	—	—	—	—	—
6th	Touane	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
and	C. N. Jamm	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Dec.	Assari	—	—	—	30	31	68	—	—
C. S.	Masari	—	10 a.m.	—	30	16	77	68	—
Jan.	Lepidopol	—	—	—	30	06	—	—	—
6th	Lepidopol	—	9 a.m.	—	—	—	—	—	—
and	Hilo	—	—	—	30	07	81	—	—
Dec.	Cebu	—	—	—	30	07	81	—	—
C. S.	Labuan	—	—	—	30	06	81	—	—

[illegible]

Commanding the Navy, Republic of Indo China.

1, Ice House Road.

1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2645, 2646, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2650, 2651, 2652, 2653, 2654, 2655, 2656, 2657, 2658, 2659, 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2666, 2667, 2668, 2669, 2670, 2671, 2672, 2673, 2674, 2675, 2676, 2677, 2678, 2679, 26

SHARE QUOTATIONS.

Supplied by Messrs. E. S. KAPOOR & Co. Corrected to noon; later alterations given under "Commercial Intelligence," page 5.

STOCKS.	NO. OF SHARES.	VALUE.	PAID UP.	POSITION AS PER LAST REPORT.	AT WORKING ACCOUNT.	LAST DIVIDEND.	APPROXIMATE DIVIDEND AS PER LAST STATEMENT.	CLOSING QUOTATIONS.
BANKS.								
Hongkong & Shanghai Banking Corporation (new)	40,000	\$125	\$125	\$1,000,000	\$1,797,167	{ \$1.15/- for 1-year ending 30.6.07 @ ex 2/3 2/15 = \$16.04/-	1 1/2 %	{ \$22 1/2 buyers \$7 1/2 buyers new issue London 2 1/2
National Bank of China, Limited	1,025	£7	£6	{ £12,711 \$305,031	\$71.3 3	5/- (London 3/6) for 1903	...	\$51
MARINE INSURANCES.								
Canton Insurance Office, Limited	1,000	250	100	{ \$1,500,000 \$10,058 \$4,195	none	\$20 10/- 1906	8 1/2 %	\$245
North China Insurance Company, Limited	1,000	£15	£5	{ Tls. 100,000 Tls. 18,942	Tls. 224.4 4	{ Final of 7/6 per share making in all 15/- for 1906 = Tls. 2.65	6 %	Tls. 5 1/2 sellers
Union Insurance Society of Canton, Limited	2,400	1250	1100	{ \$3,000,000 \$70,000 \$125,137.15	1,460 4/0	{ Final of \$12 making \$42. for 1905 and interim of 2 1/2 for 1906	5 %	\$825 sales
Yangtze Insurance Association, Limited	4,000	\$100	\$60	{ \$1,000,000 \$81,000 \$130,143	\$394.520	1/- for year ending 31.12. 5	...	{ \$150 \$135 sales
FIRE INSURANCES.								
China Fire Insurance Company, Limited	20,000	\$100	\$20	{ \$1,000,000 \$320,449 \$7,616	\$362.980	2/- and bonus 2/- for 1905	8 1/2 %	\$95
Hongkong Fire Insurance Company, Limited	8,000	1250	\$50	{ \$1,250,483	\$435.236	\$40 10/- 1905	12 1/2 %	\$330
SHIPPING.								
China and Manila Steamship Company, Limited	10,000	\$25	\$25	{ \$7,000 \$264,638 \$50,000	\$365	1/- for 1906	6 1/2 %	\$15
Douglas Steamship Company, Limited	10,000	\$50	\$50	{ \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000	Nil.	2/- for year ending 30. 1. 1907	10 %	\$40 buyers
Hongkong, Canton & Macao Steamship Co., Ltd.	10,000	\$15	\$15	{ \$15,000 \$80,989	\$27.102	5/- for 1st half year ending 30.6.07	6 1/2 %	\$29 buyers
Indo-China Steam Navigation Co., Ltd. (Preferred)	6,000	£5	£5	{ £60,000 £30,000	£3 694	5/- for 1906 @ ex 2/3 = \$2.14 per share	3 1/2 %	{ \$24 \$20
Shanghai Tug and Lighter Company, Limited	100,000	Tls. 50	Tls. 50	{ Tls. 50,372 £400,000	Tls. 13.327	Interim of Tls. 12 for account 1907	12 %	{ Tls. 44 buyers Tls. 50 sellers
"Shell" Transport and Trading Company, Limited	1,000,000	£1	£1	{ £1,871 \$5,000	172.370	Interim of 1/- (Coupon No. 8 for a/c 1907	4 1/2 %	44/- buyers
* Star Ferry Company, Limited	10,000	\$10	\$10	{ \$10,000 Tls. 98,000 Tls. 419,479	\$137	{ \$1.00/- for year ending 30.6.1907 \$0.50/-	4 1/2 %	\$24 buyers \$12 buyers
Taku Tug and Lighter Company, Limited	1,000	Tls. 50	Tls. 50	{ Tls. 50,000 Tls. 62,000 Tls. 51,000	18.730	Final of Tls. 2 making Tls. 6 for 1906	12 1/2 %	Tls. 47 sellers
REFINERIES.								
China Sugar Refining Company, Limited	1,000	100	100	{ none	\$9.218	8 for year ending 31.12.06	8 %	\$100 buyers
Luxon Sugar Refining Company, Limited	7,000	\$100	\$100	{ none
Perak Sugar Cultivation Company, Limited	7,000	Tls. 50	Tls. 50	{ Tls. 100,000	Tls. 8.935	Tls. 4 (8 %) for year ending 31.8.06	5 %	\$10 Tls. 80
MINING.								
Chinese Engineering and Mining Company, Ltd.	1,000,000	£1	£1	{ £15,000 £84,398	£11.356	Final of 1/6 (No. 9) for 1907	7 1/2 %	Tls. 16
Faith Australian Gold Mining Company, Limited	50,000	£1	£1	{ £4,873	£11.358	No. 12 of 1/- = 4 cents	...	38 1/2
DOCKS, WHARVES & GODOWNS.								
Fenwick (Geo.) & Co., Limited	18,000	\$25	\$25	{ \$64,124	\$10.335	\$1.75 for year ending 31.12.06	11 1/2 %	\$15
Hongkong & Kowloon Wharf and Godown Co., Ltd. (new)	10,000	\$50	\$50	{ \$10,000 \$23,152 \$10,000	\$3.047	Interim of 2/- for six months ending June 30th 1907	6 1/2 %	{ \$16 old \$54 new
Hongkong and Whampoa Dock Company, Ltd.	10,000	\$50	\$50	{ \$10,000 \$50,000	\$491.880	14 for 1st half year ending June 30th, 1907	8 1/2 %	\$6
Shanghai Dock and Engineering Co., Ltd.	15,700	Tls. 100	Tls. 100	{ Tls. 1,000,000 Tls. 487,210 Tls. 10,000	Tls. 10.459	Tls. 3 for year ending 30th April 1907	4 %	Tls. 76
Shanghai and Hongkew Wharf Company, Limited	16,000	Tls. 100	Tls. 100	{ Tls. 1,000,000 Tls. 191,100 Tls. 75,000	Tls. 23.117	Interim of Tls. 8 for account 1907	8 1/2 %	Tls. 212 1/2
LANDS, HOTELS & BUILDINGS.								
Anglo-French Land Investment Co., Ltd.	25,000	Tls. 100	Tls. 100	{ Tls. 15,000	Tls. 3.388	Tls. 6 for 14 months ending 28.2.07	6 %	Tls. 105
Astor House Hotel Company, Limited (Shanghai)	10,000	\$25	\$25	{ \$30,000	\$10.908	\$2 for year ending 30.6.07	11 1/2 %	\$20 sales
Central Stores, Limited	50,323	\$15	\$15	{ \$754,973	\$10.178	\$1.80 for 1906	13 %	\$14
Hongkong Hotel Company, Limited	2,000	\$50	\$50	{ \$10,000 \$36,073	\$10.225	14 for 1st half year ending 30.6.07	7 1/2 %	\$104 buyers
Hongkong Land Investment and Agency Co., Ltd.	10,000	\$100	\$100	{ \$250,000	\$36.218	Interim of \$3 for half year ending 30.6.07	7 1/2 %	\$95
Humphreys Estate & Finance Company, Limited	170,000	\$10	\$10	{ \$208,386	\$11.567	80 cents for 1906	7 1/2 %	\$104 sales
Kowloon Land and Building Company, Limited	1,000	\$50	\$50	{ none	\$1.089	\$2 1/2 for 1906	7 %	\$35 sellers
Shanghai Land Investment Company, Limited	78,000	Tls. 10	Tls. 10	{ Tls. 869,493 Tls. 170,000	Tls. 61.978	Interim of Tls. 3 for account 1907	7 1/2 %	Tls. 102 buyers
West Point Building Company, Limited	12,500	\$50	\$50	{ none	\$1.519	Interim of \$2 for half year ending June 30th	8 1/2 %	\$50 sales
COTTON MILLS.								
Ewo Cotton Spinning and Weaving Company, Ltd.	15,000	Tls. 50	Tls. 50	{ Tls. 150,000	Tls. 8.807	Tls. 2 1/2 for year ended 31.10.1907	5 %	Tls. 52 buyers
Hongkong Cotton Spinning, Weaving and Dyeing Company, Limited	15,000	\$10	\$10	{ Tls. 23,276 \$60,000	\$14.269	50 cents for year ending 31.7.07	5 %	\$10
International Cotton Manufacturing Company, Ltd.	10,000	Tls. 75	Tls. 75	{ Tls. 150,000	Tls. 85.519	Tls. 6 for year ended 30.9.06 (8 %)	...	Tls. 51 buyers
Laou-kung-mow Cotton Spinning & Weaving Co., Ltd.	8,000	Tls. 100	Tls. 100	{ none	Tls. 31.450	Tls. 8 for 1906	...	Tls. 65 sellers
Soy Chee Cotton Spinning Company, Limited	7,000	Tls. 100	Tls. 100	{ Tls. 35,257	Tls. 50.663	Tls. 50 for 1906	...	Tls. 270 sellers
MISCELLANEOUS.								
Bell's Asbestos Eastern Agency, Limited	8,604	12/6	12/6	{ £1,299	£68	1 1/3 per share for 1906	9 %	\$6 1/2
Campbell, Moore & Co., Limited	1,800	\$10	\$10	{ \$9,000	165 1/2	\$1 for 1905	...	\$10 1/2 buyers
China-Borneo Company, Limited	1,000	\$12	\$12	{ none	Nil.	Final of Tls. 5 making Tls. 10 for 1905	...	Tls. 18 sellers
China Flour Mill Co., Limited	4,000	Tls. 50	Tls. 50	{ Tls. 50,000	Tls. 88 1/2	60 cents for year ended 15.2.05	...	16
China Light and Power Company, Limited	50,000	\$10	\$10	{ none	\$25,000	80 cents for 1906	8 1/2 %	\$6 1/2 buyers
China Provident Loan & Mortgage Company, Ltd.	100,000	\$10	\$10	{ \$10,000	\$2.51	\$1.30 for year ending 31.7.07	7 1/2 %	\$17 sales
Dairy Farm Company, Limited	25,000	\$25	\$25	{ \$25,000	\$2.974	Interim of 50 cents per share for a/c 1907	8 1/2 %	\$11 1/2 sn. and b.
Green Island Cement Company, Ltd.	400,000	\$10	\$10	{ \$10,000	\$10.804	5/- for year ending 28.2.07	11 1/2 %	\$22 buyers
Hall & Holtz, Limited	21,000	\$20	\$20	{ \$186,000	\$15.002	1 per share for year ending 28.2.07	6 1/2 %	\$15
Hongkong Electric Company, Limited	60,000	\$10	\$10	{ none	\$2.913	Interim of \$4 for 4-year ending June 30th 07	9 1/2 %	\$240
Hongkong Ice Company, Limited	5,000	\$25	\$25	{ \$105,000	\$4.111	Interim of 80 cents per share for a/c 1907	8 %	\$25
Hongkong Rope Manufacturing Company, Ltd.	50,000	\$10	\$10	{ \$50,000	\$4.211	Final of Tls. 7 1/2 and bonus of Tls. 2 1/2 making in all Tls. 3 1/2 for 1907	9 %	Tls. 365 buyers
Maatschappij tot Mijn- en Landbouwerij op de Langkat, Limited	25,000	Ga. 100	Ga. 100	{ Tls. 567,500 Tls. 27,603	Tls. 10.374	Interim of Tls. 3 1/2 for 1907	8 %	\$13
Peak Tramways Company, Limited	25,000	\$10	\$10	{ none	\$2.655	5/- per share for period from 19th Oct. to 30th Apr. 07	...	\$5 buyers
Peak Tramways Company (new)	50,000	\$10	\$10	{ none	...	Interim of Tls. 3 1/2 for account 1907	7 1/2 %	Tls. 107 buyers
Philippine Company, Limited	77,500	\$10	\$10	{ none	...	Tls. 4 for 1905	...	Tls. 45 sellers
Shanghai Gas Company, Limited	24,000	Tls. 50	Tls. 50	{ Tls. 100,000	Tls. 9.751	Final of Tls. 5 and Tls. 10 for 1906	...	Tls. 60 buyers
Shanghai Horse Bazaar Co., Ltd.	5,400	Tls. 50	Tls. 50	{ Tls. 67,123 Tls. 45,000	Tls. 3.314	Interim of Tls. 5 for a/c 1907	8 %	Tls. 127 1/2 buyers
Shanghai Tug and Paper Company, Limited	4,500	Tls. 100	Tls. 100	{ Tls. 8,000 Tls. 24,800	Tls. 7.843	Interim of 15/- for account 1907 (old)	...	Tls. 355 buyers
Shanghai Waterworks Company, Limited	16,350	£30	£30	{ Tls. 15,000	Tls. 85.538	Interim of 15/- for account 1907 (new)	...	120 sales
South China Muring Post, Limited	6,000	\$25	\$25	{ none	\$41.934	40 cents for year ending 31.5. 7	6 1/2 %	Tls. 97
Steam Laundry Company, Limited	20,000	\$5	\$5	{ none	478	First year	...	\$ 7
Tientsin Waterworks Company, Limited	2,000	Tls. 100	Tls. 100	{ Tls. 15,295	Tls. 201	Tls. 6 1/2 for year ending 30.4.07	...	\$10
Union Waterboat Company, Limited	50,000	\$10	\$10	{ none	\$3.49	80 cents on 90,000 shares and 1 1/2 on 100 Founders shares for 1907	8 %	\$10 buyers
United Asbestos Oriental Agency, Limited	20,000	\$10	\$10	{ \$35,000	\$5.482	Interim of 30 cents for account 1907	7 %	\$5 buyers
Watson, (A. S.) & Co., Limited	90,000	10	10	{ \$300,000 \$15,000	141	Final of 30 cts. making 80 cts. for the year ended 30th June, 1907
William Powell, Limited	15,000	10	10	{ none

* These shares are entitled to half of the profits.

Mails.

MESSAGERIES MARITIMES
FRENCH MAIL STEAMERS.

STEAM FOR SAIGON,
SINGAPORE, BATAVIA,
COLOMBO, AUSTRALIA,
ADEN, EGYPT, MARSEILLE, LONDON.

AVRIL, BORDEAUX, MEDITERRANEAN AND BLACK SEA PORTS.

The S.S. "BALAZIE,"

Captain Allard, will be despatched for MARSEILLE on TUESDAY, the 21st January, 1908, at 1 P.M.

Passage tickets and through Bills of Lading issued for above ports, and for Australia with prompt transshipment at Colombo.

Cargo also booked for principal places in Europe.

Next sailings will be as follows:—

S.S. YARRA 4th Feb.

S.S. OCEANIAN 18th Feb.

J. MILLET, Agent.

1st Hongkong, 7th January, 1908.

THE AMERICAN AND ORIENTAL LINE.

FOR BALTIMORE AND NEW YORK

(With liberty to call at Malabar Coast).

THE Steamship

"JESERIC,"

Captain Thompson, will leave for the above ports on or about SATURDAY, 25th January, 1908.

For Freight, apply to

ARNHOLD, KARBERG & Co., Agents.

Hongkong, 21st December, 1907.

THE PENINSULAR AND ORIENTAL
STEAM NAVIGATION COMPANY.

STEAM FOR
STRAITS, CEYLON, AUSTRALIA, INDIA,
ADEN, EGYPT, MEDITERRANEAN
PORTS, PLYMOUTH AND LONDON.

(Through Bills of Lading issued for BATAVIA, PERSIAN GULF, CONTINENTAL, AMERICAN and SOUTH AFRICAN PORTS.)

THE Steamship

"DELHI,"

Captain J. D. Andrews, R.N.R., carrying His Majesty's Mails, will be despatched from this

for BOMBAY, &c., on SATURDAY, the 11th

January, at Noon, taking Passengers and

Cargo for the above ports, in connection with

the Company's S.S. Mongolia, 9,500 tons, from

Colombo, Passengers' accommodation in which

vessel is secured before departure from Hongkong.

Silk and Valuables, all Cargo for France, and Tea for London (under arrangement) will be transhipped at Colombo into the Mail steamer proceeding direct to Marseilles and London, other Cargo for London, &c., will be conveyed from Bombay by the R.M.S. Egypt, due in London on 22nd February, 1908.

Parcels will be received at this Office until 4 P.M. the day before sailing. The Contents and Value of all Packages are required.

For further Particulars, apply to

E. A. HEWETT, Superintendent.

Hongkong, 30th December, 1907.

Intimations.

ACHEE & CO.

ESTABLISHED 1859.

FURNITURE,

DEPOT

GENERAL HOUSEHOLD

REQUISITES.

&c. &c. &c.

HASTMAN'S

KODAKS, FILMS,

AND

ACCESSORIES.

AMATEUR WORK Receives PROMPT and CAREFUL ATTENTION.

Hongkong, 16th May, 1905.

The Whisky of Great Age

DEWAR'S

IMPERIAL

JOHN DEWAR & SONS LTD.

Sole Agents: BUMANN & BERBLINGER.

18, 19 & 17, Connaught Road Central.